



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/565,845 | 01/26/2006 | Takaaki Kishigami | L9289.06109 | 7753 |
| 52989 | 7590 | 10/29/2008 | | |
| Dickinson Wright PLLC | | | | |
| James E. Ledbetter, Esq. | | | | |
| International Square | | | | |
| 1875 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1200 | | | | |
| Washington, DC 20006 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| ZEWDU, MELESS NMN | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2617 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 10/20/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/565,845

Applicant(s)

KISHIGAMI ET AL.

Examiner

Meless N. Zewdu

Art Unit

2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Meless N. Zewdu.(3) Yasuhide Ono (Inv.).(2) James F Ledbetter (Reg. No. 28,732).(4) Kaoru Takagahara (Inv.).

Date of Interview: 15 October 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,922,445 B; 2004/0022205 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Various aspects of claims 1 and 32 were discussed in light of the above references. No agreement was reached. However, examiner will consider applicants' point directed to --- each divided band comprising a plurality of subcarriers.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meless N Zewdu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617